



Universität Konstanz

Christine Pickert
Stabsstelle Qualitätsmanagement
Universitätsstraße 10
78464 Konstanz
Tel +49 7531 88-4072
Fax +49 7531 88-3750
christine.pickert@uni-konstanz.de
www.uni-konstanz.de

Universität Konstanz, D-78457 Konstanz

Dear Mr. Dr. Thomas Malang


(as private and confidential)

Report Course Evaluation

National Parliaments in European and International Politics

(SoSe 2019)

Dr. Thomas Malang
no. of responses = 16




Overall indicators

Lehr-Lern-Index LLI



av.=1,63
dev.=0,85

Satisfaction (question 20)



av.=1,75
dev.=0,68


Evaluation overall (question 22)



av.=1,69
dev.=0,7


Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Which semester are you in?




n=14

What degree are you taking?



n=13


What is your main subject? (Teaching degree: both main subjects)



n=16

Political Economy

Why are you attending this course (you can give more than one reason)?




n=16


Malang

Teaching & Learning


1) The learning objectives of this course are clear.




2) Complex issues are explained in a way which is easy to understand.




3) The structure of the course is clear.




4) I feel I can ask questions and make comments at any time.




5) The use of practical examples and examples from research help me to understand the material better.




6) I get useful feedback and advice from the lecturer when I ask.




7) I can summarize the important terms and concepts that are taught in this course.




8) The opportunity to discuss the topics helps me to understand them better.



9) The lecturer's input to the discussions is helpful.




10) The assessment criteria are clear.




Other Factors


11) The room for this course is the right size and suitably equipped.




12) I was already very interested in the topic BEFORE I started the course.



13) In this course I can make extensive use of what I have learned in other courses.




14) The number of students in the course is acceptable.




Workload


15) How many hours per week do you normally spend working for this course (not including presentations and term papers)?




16) For each ECTS point you are expected to do 25-30 hours of work. (For a 3 ECTS course this amounts to about 4 hours a week outside class.) How does the amount of work you do compare with the amount of work expected for the ECTS points awarded for this course?




17) The demands of this course are:




18) The amount of time required for this course is:




19) The level of subject knowledge that you are expected to have when you start this course is:




20) In general I am very satisfied with the course.



21) The class is well planned and all the required material can be covered.




22) Please evaluate the course overall.




Instructor Evaluation


23) The lecturer seems well prepared.




24) The lecturer can present the subject matter in a comprehensible manner.




25) The lecturer is open-minded and cooperative.




26) I receive effective guidance from the instructor/instructors.




27) The oral presentations are structured and comprehensible.



28) Feedback provided by the instructor for students making an oral presentation is helpful.



29) The discussions are well-structured and help to explore given topics in greater depth.



21) What I find good about the course is ...

How Mr. Malung is really motivated and engaged in the topics, that really raises my interest level!

The lecturer, the atmosphere (the air quality not so much, but that is not your fault), one of the most entertaining seminars at the department

→ that it is close to research Prof. Malung is pursuing, so he is very knowledgeable about the topic
→ time taken to help us with research papers & student the possibility general

Time when the course is not, I like afternoon classes.

- we take our time to discuss every possible outline

22) What I do not find so good about the course is ...

the SPK bashing "please focus on the AfD"

The beamer, the lack of air conditioning

- the projector

- grading is not "balanced", too heavy emphasis on term paper
- sometimes the discussions are hard to follow

student presentations with rather mediocre proposed discussion questions & activities
I would rather hear more input from Dr Malang

23) I would like to make the following suggestions for improving the course:

bring cookies

Improve time management a little, otherwise everything is great

the 3 or 4 scribbles on classic functions could probably be condensed into 1-2, as many of these should know this already or can simply read a text about it

- more qualitative research examples


Give that man a pay rise

Profile


Subunit: Fachbereich Politik- und Verwaltungswissenschaft
 Name of the instructor: Dr. Thomas Malang
 Name of the course: National Parliaments in European and International Politics
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean


Teaching & Learning



Other Factors



Workload



Instructor Evaluation

23) The lecturer seems well prepared.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=16	av.=1,31	md=1,00	dev.=0,60
24) The lecturer can present the subject matter in a comprehensible manner.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=16	av.=1,69	md=2,00	dev.=0,70
25) The lecturer is open-minded and cooperative.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=15	av.=1,27	md=1,00	dev.=0,59
26) I receive effective guidance from the instructor/ instructors.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=16	av.=1,69	md=1,50	dev.=0,79
27) The oral presentations are structured and comprehensible.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=15	av.=1,93	md=2,00	dev.=1,10
28) Feedback provided by the instructor for students making an oral presentation is helpful.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=14	av.=1,64	md=1,00	dev.=0,84
29) The discussions are well-structured and help to explore given topics in grater depth.	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=15	av.=2,13	md=2,00	dev.=1,06