

DATE \_\_\_\_\_ NAME OF PRESENTER \_\_\_\_\_

		<b>Evaluation scale:</b>			
		1.0 / 1.3	Very good	3.7 / 4.0	Sufficient
		1.7 / 2.0 / 2.3	Good	5.0	Fails
		2.7 / 3.0 / 3.3	Satisfactory		
		<i>Criteria &amp; indicators</i>	<i>Optional: Notes (yes/no/partial/NA)</i>	<i>Evaluation</i>	
<b>CONTENT</b>	<b>1. Adequate representation of study/case content</b>				
	Concise & accurate synopsis	_____			
	Adequate balance coverage / key aspects	_____			
	≤ 2/3 of presentation	_____			
	<b>2. Analytic contribution</b>				
	Value added to readings	_____			
	Builds & defends independent argument	_____			
	Refers to / applies stuff taught in class	_____			
	Critical reflection (problems & alternatives)	_____			
	≥ 1/3 of presentation	_____			
	<b>3. Consistent/logical/coherent structure</b>				
	Overarching red line / structuring principle	_____			
	Arguments not logically/empirically contradictory	_____			
	Logical or empirical evidence backs up argument(s)	_____			
	Clear order of arguments	_____			
<b>4. Sound and concise conclusion</b>					
Concise summary of main points	_____				
Take-home messages	_____				
Open (controversial?) questions for discussion	_____				
<b>5. Clarity of major goal of presentation &amp; signposting</b>					
Overview of presentation	_____				
Starts w. clear question/ hypothesis/argument(s)	_____				
Provides evidence / outlines relevance	_____				
<b>FORM</b>	<b>6. Time management</b>				
	<b>7. Quality of slides</b>				
	Structure	_____			
	Design	_____			
Errors	_____				
Parsimony	_____				
<b>STYLE</b>	<b>8. Creativity</b>				
	Contextualizes/motivates/illustrates topic	_____			
	Integrates additional material (video, cartoon...)	_____			
	<b>9. Presentation style NOT GRADED</b>				
	Speaks freely / no reading out	_____			
Competent & engaging appearance/body language	_____				
Appropriate speed & volume of speech	_____				

**Optional comments / suggestions for improvement**

**CONTENT**

**FORM**

**STYLE**